

1 RAMZY P. LADAH, ESQ.
2 Nevada Bar No. 11405
3 DANIEL C. TETREAUULT, ESQ.
4 Nevada Bar No. 11473
5 daniel@ladahlaw.com
6 **LADAH LAW FIRM**
517 S. Third Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
T: 702.252.0055
F: 702.248.0055
6 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 ROBERTO CABALLERO ARMAS, HIRAM
SUAREZ TOLEDO, AND LAURA MORENO
LAMAS.

Case No. 2:24-cv-1997-CDS-EJY

12 | Plaintiffs,
vs.

STIPULATION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES

13 DAVID KENT SIMONS; NELSON'S INC.
14 DOES I THROUGH X, Inclusive; and Roe
Business Entities I through X, inclusive.

(FIRST REQUEST)

15 Defendants.

17 Plaintiffs, Roberto Caballero Armas, Hiram Suarez Toledo, And Laura Moreno Lamas
18 (collectively “Plaintiffs”) and Defendants, David Kent Simons and Nelson’s Inc. (collectively
19 “Defendants”), through their respective attorneys of record, hereby jointly stipulate and respectfully
20 request this Honorable Court order a continuance of the discovery deadlines for one-hundred twenty
21 (120) days pursuant to FRCP 26 and Local Rule 26.

22 1. **Discovery Completed:** To date, the parties have participated in the 26(f) conference and
23 made initial disclosures of documents and witnesses. To date, the parties have served only initial
24 disclosures. Defendants have propounded written discovery.

25 | ...

26 | ...

27 | Page

28 |



1 2. **Discovery remaining to be completed:** The parties will continue propounding and
 2 responding to written discovery. The parties need to complete the depositions of Plaintiff, percipient
 3 fact witnesses, and the 30(b)(6) witness. Additionally, follow-up written discovery, expert
 4 disclosures, expert depositions and any and all other additional discovery as necessary still needs to
 5 be completed.

6 3. **Description of why remaining discovery has not been completed within the time limits**
 7 **previously set by the court:**

8 The parties assert that good cause exists for a one-hundred twenty (120) day extension. The
 9 parties have worked diligently and have been compliant with all prior deadlines and there is still
 10 months before discovery closes in this matter, however, due to the new circumstances presented, and
 11 as set forth in further detail below, the parties jointly submit good cause exists to grant the extension
 12 requested.

13 Local Rule 26-3 governs this Stipulation requesting an extension of expert disclosure
 14 deadlines. This Local Rule imposes a good cause standard to earn the extension:

15 A motion or stipulation to extend a deadline set forth in a discovery plan must be
 16 received by the court no later than 21 days before the expiration of the subject
 17 deadline. A request made within 21 days of the subject deadline must be supported
 18 by a showing of good cause. A request made after the expiration of the subject
 deadline will not be granted unless the movant also demonstrates that the failure to
 act was the result of excusable neglect.

19 Here, good cause supports extending the discovery deadlines. Pursuant to Local Rule 26-3,
 20 this Stipulation was agreed upon and submitted after 21 days before the first deadline. Due to recent
 21 serious medical issues suffered by the handling attorney, Anthony Ashby, Esq., for Plaintiff. Due to
 22 these issues, the matter was transferred to a different handling attorney, Daniel C. Tetreault, Esq., at
 23 Ladah Law Firm on February 13, 2025. Undersigned counsel requests additional time review the file
 24 as well as to conduct necessary discovery as well as determine the necessary experts. The Parties'
 25 request is made in good faith and not for purposes of delay

26

27

28



1 4. **Proposed schedule for completing all remaining discovery:** Based on the foregoing, the
 2 parties respectfully request that the Court grant their joint request to extend the discovery deadlines,
 3 as follows:

Description	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
Discovery Cutoff	4/22/2025	8/20/2025
Deadline to Amend Pleadings/Add Parties	1/22/2025	5/22/2025
Initial Expert Deadline	2/21/2025	6/20/2025
Rebuttal Expert Deadline	3/24/2025	7/21/2025
Dispositive Motion Deadline	5/22/2025	9/19/2025
Pre-Trial Order, if no dispositive Motions	6/23/2025	10/20/2025

5 5. A Trial date has not been set in this case. Accordingly, granting the Stipulation will not create
 10 unnecessary delay in resolving this case on its merits.

6. The Parties agree that since this is a joint request, no party will be prejudiced if the requested
 12 extension occurs.

7. The Parties respectfully request that this Stipulation to Extend Deadlines be granted and that
 14 the Court adopt the proposed deadlines listed herein.

16 Dated this 18th day of February, 2025.

17 LADAH LAW FIRM

19 By: /s/ Ramzy P. Lada

20 Ramzy P. Lada, Esq.

21 Nevada Bar No. 11405

22 Daniel C. Tetreault, Esq.

23 Nevada Bar No. 11473

24 517 S. Third Street

25 Las Vegas, NV 89101

26 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

Dated this 18th day of February, 2025.

WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN &
 DICKER LLP

By: /s/ Kevin A. Brown

Michael P. Lowry, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 10666

Kevin A. Brown, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 7621

6689 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 200

Las Vegas, NV 89119

Attorneys for Defendants

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 
 27 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

28 Date: February 18, 2025

LADAH LAW
FIRM

